United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.) Case No. 5:13-CR-199-1F	
RONALD EARL MCCULLOUGH) Case No. 5.13-0K-199-1F	
Defendant)	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
After conducting a detention hearing under the B require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
Part I—Findings of Fact		
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted		
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local of	fense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or m	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) nore.	
☐ an offense for which the maximum senten	ice is death or life imprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison to	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	*	
a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	but involves:	
□ a minor victim		
\Box the possession or use of a firearm or c	lestructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250	
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sir	ace the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense described in findin	g (1).	
	ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety r find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
Alternati	ive Findings (A)	
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense	
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	years or more is prescribed in	
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.	
	Alternative Findings (B)	
L (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person of the community.	
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention bearing establishes by Follow and		
	I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by	
	For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community. The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations Other:	
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention	
pendi order	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ng appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	
Date:	August 4, 2016 **Eobert Trumbers T. **Judge's signature**	

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title